

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,809	09/16/2003	Seiji Nagai	T36-159069M/KOH 1114		
21254 7	590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER		
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			RAO, G NAGESH		
SUITE 200	OKTHOOSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			1722		
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,809	NAGAI₄ĘT AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
G. Nagesh Rao	1722		

Dotott and thing of an opposit	Examiner	Art Onit	
	G. Nagesh Rao	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filed after the date o	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☒ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	though	)
		YOGENDRA T TECHNOLOGY C	ENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Amended claims 1-18 raise new issues not previously considered by examiner. The limitations of claims 2 and 5 put forth in claim 1 and the limitation of claim 16 put forth into claim 8 raise new issues on their subsequent dependent claims. Issues that were not previously considered and therefore require a new search to consider said changes in the independent claim limitations and the dependent claims that now follow suit.